

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,574	11/13/2003	David S. Ruch	10031US	8790
7590 10/17/2007 William D. McSpadden			EXAMINER	
BAKER & Mc	KENZIE		ARAJ, MICHAEL J	
2300 Trammell 2001 Ross Ave			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75201				
				<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H		
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,574	RUCH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Araj	3733	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication	II		ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on (2a) This action is FINAL.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-34 and 37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-34 and 37 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of th	is/are: a) accepted or b) or the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 lm4::	Summan, (BTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	·

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to indicate reference number 75 "bolt" in Figure 3 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-18, 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims 1, 13 and 29-31, applicant recites, "a connector rod fastener disposed on a substantially planar side of the bracket. This appears to be new matter because it is not displayed in the specifications or in the figures. From the figures it appears that the clam rod fastener is disposed on a rounded side of the bracket.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by Orsak (U.S. Patent No. 5,683,389).

Orsak discloses an apparatus that can be made with plastic comprising a first clamp (75) assembly comprising a bracket (75) operable to removably mount to an

external fixator (83) and couple to a connector rod (15) as well as including a second clamp (25) assembly operable to releasably couple to at least one bone pin (26) embedded in a bone. The connector rod is operable to join the first clamp assembly to the second clamp assembly (see Fig 8). The external fixator is attachable to a human's radius and the second clamp is coupled to a bone pin that is embeddable to at least one metacarpal bone of a human. The first clamp assembly comprises an assembly clamp operable to attach to a longitudinal member (84) of an external fixator (83) and a clamp assembly head (77) operable to secure the assembly clamp and a connector rod fastener (81). It also comprises a U-shaped bracket (75) operable to attach to a rod (17). The second clamp assembly comprises a fastener (35) operable to releasably engage at least one bone pin embedded in a bone and operable to releasably hold the connector rod and clamp assembly head. The second clamp assembly is also rotatable with the use of an additional external fixator as seen in Figure 11. The apparatus is positioned to reduce bony fragments and maintain bony fragments in position. The rod comprises a first end operable to slidably attach to the first assembly clamp and a second end having a body operable to engage the second assembly clamp. The head of the second clamp assembly is deemed circular because it has an oval like appearance from the side profile that has a central opening where the rod can be engaged. With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Orsak which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Orsak also discloses a method of maintaining a humans's wrist in a healing position comprising attaching an external fixator to the radius bone of a wrist, releasably attaching a first clamp assembly to the external fixator, releasably attaching a second clamp assembly to at least one bone pin embedded in a bone of a human and joining the first clamp and second clamp assembly with a connector rod. This device will limit the degree of supination and pronation of a human's radius and ulna bones by positioning the clams and connector rods relative to the human's radius and ulna bones by the way it is attached to the human bone of the radius bone and metacarpals.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 23, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments to which the applicant is arguing appears to be new matter (i.e. a connector rod fastener disposed on a substantially planar side of the bracket). New matter cannot overcome an art rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJA

EDU - ROBERT